UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,677	04/18/2007	Ake Boose	HALBI 3.3-002	4999
	7590 08/31/201 VID, LITTENBERG,	EXAMINER		
KRUMHOLZ &	& MENTLIK		HINZE, LEO T	
600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2854	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/31/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/584,677	BOOSE ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		LEO T. HINZE	2854	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SH WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAIS nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	√. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 Ju</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 29-33 and 35-59 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 29-33,35-54 and 57-59 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 55, 56 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
2) Notice 3) Information	et(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) ter No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/584,677 Page 2

Art Unit: 2854

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 21 June 2010, with respect to claims 55 and 56, have

been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

a. Applicant argues on p. 16 that claims 55 and 56 have been rejected as being

anticipated by Weeks. This appears to be a true factual statement. However, this

statement is not persuasive in overcoming the rejection of claims 55 and 56.

2. Applicant's arguments filed 21 June 2010, with respect to claims 29-33, 35-54

and 57-59, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

a. However, while the arguments presented by Applicant are not persuasive, the

amendments to claims 29, 40, 50, and 51 have patentably distinguished the claimed

invention over the prior art. By amending the claims to further define the differences

between the structure of the claimed invention and the prior art, Applicant has shown

that the elongated slit of the claimed invention has a structure that is not anticipated or

rendered obvious by the prior art.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 29-33, 35-54, and 57-59 are allowed.

4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

a. Regarding claims 29, 40, and 50, the prior art of record does not teach or render

obvious a doctor blade mounting system having all of the claimed structure and

functionality, including a clamping portion of an elongated frame having an elongated

Art Unit: 2854

slit, a doctor blade disposed within said elongated slit with a clamping means for fixing said doctor blade within said elongated slit, said elongated slit presenting a contoured surface including an outer end having a first predetermined dimension corresponding to the entrance into said elongated slit, an intermediate portion having a second predetermined dimension, said second predetermined dimension being greater than said first predetermined dimension, and an inner portion having a third dimension, said third predetermined dimension being smaller than said first and second predetermined dimensions.

b. Regarding claim 51, the prior art of record does not teach or render obvious a method for removably clamping a doctor blade in a clamping member having all of the steps and structure as claimed, including a clamping portion of an elongated frame having an elongated slit, a doctor blade disposed within said elongated slit with a clamping means for fixing said doctor blade within said elongated slit, said elongated slit presenting a contoured surface including an outer end having a first predetermined dimension corresponding to the entrance into said elongated slit, an intermediate portion having a second predetermined dimension, said second predetermined dimension being greater than said first predetermined dimension, and an inner portion having a third dimension, said third predetermined dimension being smaller than said first and second predetermined dimensions.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 55 and 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Weeks, US 5,152,221 A (hereafter Weeks).
- a. Regarding claim 55, Weeks teaches a method for removably attaching a doctor blade clamping portion (19, Fig. 1) to a support having at least one end portion (18, Fig. 1), said doctor blade clamping portion including a first slit including a first opening (20, Fig. 1) and a second slit including a second opening (25, Fig. 1), said first slit having a first side and a second side and intended to accommodate said doctor blade (17, Fig. 1), and said second slit having a first side and a second side, said second side of said first slit presenting a substantially planar surface for one side of said doctor blade, whereby said doctor blade can be held along said substantially planar surface of said second side of said first slit (see arrangement of doctor blade in 19, Figs. 1, 2), said method comprising introducing said at least one end portion of said support (18, Fig. 1) into said second opening of said second slit and inserting resilient clamping means into

said second opening of said second slit for resiliently supporting said at least one end portion of said support within said clamping portion (32, Figs. 1, 2).

b. Regarding claim 56, Weeks teaches the method of claim 55, as discussed in the rejection of claim 55 above. Weeks also teaches wherein said second side of said second slit presents a substantially planar surface for one side of said support, whereby said support can be held along said substantially planar surface of said second side of said second slit (see arrangements of 18 in slit 25, Figs. 1 and 2).

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leo T. Hinze whose telephone number is 571.272.2864. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

Application/Control Number: 10/584,677 Page 6

Art Unit: 2854

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on 571.272.2258. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Leo T. Hinze Patent Examiner AU 2854 24 August 2010

/Judy Nguyen/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2854